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**Project Name:** OWASP EnDe

Project is mostly written in JavaScript, HTML and XML.
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## Status Code Legend

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Healthy</th>
<th>High Risk issues: At risk, with a high risk of going off track</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Warning signs</td>
<td>Off Track: Potential problematic issues</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Does the project meet the Health criteria: Mostly

- It has an active leader assisting with OWASP project summits and promoting the tool through OWASP chapters and conferences
- The project has an updated open source repository in github that is accessible to the community: https://github.com/EnDe/EnDe
- It has an email list: https://lists.owasp.org/mailman/listinfo/owasp-ende-project
- Friendly license (GPL v2 license.)
- Project is vendor neutral (No logo nor mentioning about any sponsor organizations on wiki)

## Issues:

- Lacks some main information on the OWASP wiki page such as ‘what is EnDe’
- No news
- Roadmap is outdated (does not correspond to the latest release)
- Small logo of sponsor organization on the tool (right top corner)
It has a low level of development (last update 11 months ago) with one contributor so far

Does the project meet Quality Criteria: **Mostly**
- Project builds are without issues
- Project is easy to install and use
- It has a very well documented user guide and FAQ
- Source code is clean
- Release is stable
No issues have been reported by any users (on wiki)

Project Abstract Status

This tool can be considered a LAB by the quality code criteria. It has a very active leader and its tool builds are marvelous, easy to install, to use and is very well documented. Code is complex (JavaScript), however, no main issues were found using a code analysis tool such as JSHINT. Since this tool is a decoder, updates might not be similar to tools in OWASP with multiple features. We could consider this tool has reached a level of maturity and is in a stable phase.

The main issue is that it seems to lack information on the wiki to help understand this tool better for first time visitors. The lack of reporting on the wiki for users is a big concern, which keeps this tool at this status. It does not have all the requirements to be a flagship candidate. A mature project should have considerable issues logged through an open wiki/issue bug list system.